UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/887,982	06/22/2001	Babak Rezvani	CT-006 8195		
1473 ROPES & GRA	7590 06/10/200 XY LLP		EXAMINER		
PATENT DOC	KETING 39/361	NGUYEN, THU HA T			
NEW YORK, N	COF THE AMERICAS NY 10036-8704		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ŕ			2153		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/10/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No	).	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/887,982		REZVANI ET AL.		
		Examiner		Art Unit		
		THU HA T. NG	UYEN	2153		
The MAILING DAT Period for Reply	E of this communication ap	ppears on the cov	er sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress	
WHICHEVER IS LONGE - Extensions of time may be availafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the - If NO period for reply is specified - Failure to reply within the set or	TORY PERIOD FOR REPIER, FROM THE MAILING Is able under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 mailing date of this communication. If above, the maximum statutory period extended period for reply will, by statulater than three months after the mailing See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS ( 1.136(a). In no event, ho d will apply and will expirate, cause the application	COMMUNICATION wever, may a reply be time of SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONEI	I.  lely filed  the mailing date of this coorsists (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINA</b> 3)□ Since this applicat	nmunication(s) filed on <u>28 .</u> ■ L.	nis action is non-fi rance except for f	ormal matters, pro		e merits is	
Disposition of Claims						
4a) Of the above of 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 61-63,65-7) ☐ Claim(s) is/. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are	70,72-77 and 79-81 is/are	rawn from conside	eration.			
Application Papers						
10) The drawing(s) filed Applicant may not re Replacement drawin	objected to by the Examir d on is/are: a) ac quest that any objection to the g sheet(s) including the corre ation is objected to by the E	ccepted or b) one drawing(s) be he ection is required if	ld in abeyance. See the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CI	• •	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (legan Notice of Draftsperson's Pate Information Disclosure State Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/24/0	ent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ment(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) [ 5) [ 6) [	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa Other:	te		

Application/Control Number: 09/887,982 Page 2

Art Unit: 2153

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims **61-63**, **65-70**, **72-77**, **and 79-81** are presented for examination.

- 2. Claims 61, 65, 68, 72, 75 and 79 are currently amended.
- 3. Claims 64, 71, and 78 are cancelled without prejudice.

### **Claim Objections**

4. Claims 65, 72 and 79 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claims 65, 72 and 79 are depending on the cancelled claims. Appropriate correction is required.

### **Response to Arguments**

- 5. Applicant's arguments filed 1/28/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive because of the following reasons:
- 6. Applicant argues that Humpleman does not teach or suggest associating each of a plurality of user access device types with respective template document. In response to applicant's argument, the examiner submits that Humpleman does teach the feature of associating each of a plurality of user access device types with respective templates documents (figures 6-8, col. 7, line 4-col. 10, line 59, col. 13, line 15-col. 14, line 24 —each device contains a LOGO image file associated with manufacture's name, device name, device type, device model...).
- 7. Applicant argues that Humpleman does not teach the feature of selecting a template document associated with the determined user access device type. In response to applicant's argument, the examiner asserts that

Application/Control Number: 09/887,982

Art Unit: 2153

Humpleman does teach selecting a template document associated with the determined user access device type (col. 9, line 6- col. 10, line 58, col. 13, line 15-col. 14, line 24; col. 17, line 1-col. 18, line 56 –selecting the button/icon associated with device type/capability based on determining the device capability).

Page 3

- 8. As a result, cited prior art does disclose a system and method for generating a virtual representation of a device using a template document, as broadly claimed by the Applicants. Applicants clearly have still failed to identify specific claim limitations that would define a clearly patentable distinction over prior art.
- 9. Therefore, the examiner asserts that cited prior art teaches or suggests the subject matter broadly recited in independent claims 61, 68 and 75. Claims 62-63, 65-67, 69-70, 72-74, 76-77, and 79-81 are also rejected at least by virtue of their dependency on independent claims and by other reasons set forth in this office action. Accordingly, claims 61-63, 65-70, 72-77, and 79-81 are rejected as below.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

10. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in thisOffice action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an

Page 4

application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

- 11. Claims 61-63, 65-70, 72-77, and 79-81 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by **Humpleman et al.** (hereinafter Humpleman) U.S. Patent No. **6,288,716**.
- 12. As to claim 61, **Humpleman** teaches the invention as claimed, including a method for generating a virtual representation of one of a plurality of devices for a remote user access device using a template document comprising:

associating each of the plurality of devices with respective display components (col. 7, line 26-col. 8, line 54, col. 9, line 17-col. 10, line 59);

associating each of a plurality of user access device types with respective templates documents (figures 6-8, col. 7, line 4-col. 10, line 59, col. 13, line 15-col. 14, line 24 —each device contains a LOGO image file associated with manufacture's name, device name, device type, device model...), wherein each of the template documents:

comprises a layout specifying an arrangement of display components, and supports the plurality of devices (figures 6-8, 10-11, col. 7, line 4-67, col. 9, line 30-col. 10, line 59 –template document comprises icon image file layout);

determining the remote user access device type (col. 17, line 1-col. 18, line 56 – determining device type/capability);

selecting a template document associated with the determined user access device type (col. 9, line 6- col. 10, line 58, col. 13, line 15-col. 14, line 24;

Page 5

col. 17, line 1-col. 18, line 56 –selecting the button/icon associated with device type/capability based on determining the device capability);

retrieving at least one display component for the device (col. 7, line 4-67); inserting the at least one display component into the selected template document (figures 6-8, col. 7, lines 4-67, col. 9, col. 10, lines 26-58, col. 13, lines 26-51); and

generating the virtual representation for the device using the selected template document (col. 7, lines 4-67, col. 9, line 30-col. 10, line 59, col. 13, lines 15-51, col. 14, lines 26-41, col. 15, lines 23-63).

- 13. As to claim 62, **Humpleman** teaches the invention as claimed in claim 1, wherein the template document is retrieved from a remote database (figure 4, col. 11, line 60-col. 12, line 22).
- 14. As to claim 63, **Humpleman** teaches the invention as claimed in claim 61 wherein the template document is retrieved from the device (col. 7, lines 4-67, col. 14, lines 42-62, col. 15, line 49-col. 16, line 19).
- 15. As to claim 65, **Humpleman** teaches the invention as claimed in claim 64 wherein the template document is further selected based on display language (col. 8, lines 55-67, col. 9, lines 30-45).

- 16. As to claim 66, **Humpleman** teaches the invention as claimed in claim 61 wherein the at least one display component corresponds to a component on the device (col. 7, lines 4-67, col. 9, line 30-col. 10, line 59).
- 17. As to claim 67, **Humpleman** teaches the invention as claimed in claim 61 wherein the at least one display component does not correspond to a component on the device (col. 7, lines 4-67, col. 9, line 30-col. 10, line 59).
- 18. As to claim 68, **Humpleman** teaches the invention as claimed, including a system for generating a virtual representation of one of a plurality of devices for a remote user access device using a template document comprising:

means for associating each of the plurality of device with respective display components (col. 7, line 26-col. 8, line 54, col. 9, line 17-col. 10, line 59); means for associating each of a plurality of user access device types with respective templates documents (figures 6-8, col. 7, line 4-col. 10, line 59, col. 13, line 15-col. 14, line 24 –each device contains a LOGO image file associated with manufacture's name, device name, device type, device model...), wherein

comprises a layout specifying an arrangement of display components, and supports the plurality of devices (figures 6-8, 10-11, col. 7, line 4-67, col. 9, line 30-col. 10, line 59 –template document comprises icon image file layout);

each of the template documents:

means for determining the remote user access device type (col. 17, line 1-col. 18, line 56 –determining device type/capability);

Art Unit: 2153

means for selecting a template document associated with the determined user access device types (col. 9, line 6- col. 10, line 58, col. 13, line 15-col. 14, line 24, col. 17, line 1-col. 18, line 56 –selecting the button/icon associated with device type/capability based on determining the device capability);

Page 7

means for retrieving at least one display component for the device (col. 7, line 4-67);

means for inserting the at least one display component into the selected template document (figures 6-8, col. 7, lines 4-67, col. 9, col. 10, lines 26-58, col. 13, lines 26-51); and

means for generating the virtual representation for the device using the selected template document (col. 7, lines 4-67, col. 9, line 30-col. 10, line 59, col. 13, lines 15-51, col. 14, lines 26-41, col. 15, lines 23-63).

- 19. As to claim 69, **Humpleman** teaches the invention as claimed in claim 68 wherein the template document is retrieved from a remote database (figure 4, col. 11, line 60-col. 12, line 22).
- 20. As to claim 70, **Humpleman** teaches the invention as claimed in claim 68 wherein the template document is retrieved from the device (col. 7, lines 4-67, col. 14, lines 42-62, col. 15, line 49-col. 16, line 19).

Art Unit: 2153

- 21. As to claim 72, **Humpleman** teaches the invention as claimed in claim 71 wherein the template document is selected based on display language (col. 8, lines 55-67, col. 9, lines 30-45).
- 22. As to claim 73, **Humpleman** teaches the invention as claimed in claim 68 wherein the at least one display component corresponds to a component on the device (col. 7, lines 4-67, col. 9, line 30-col. 10, line 59).
- 23. As to claim 74, **Humpleman** teaches the invention as claimed in claim 68 wherein the at least one display component does not correspond to a component on the device (col. 7, lines 4-67, col. 9, line 30-col. 10, line 59).
- 24. As to claim 75, **Humpleman** teaches the invention as claimed, including a system for generating a virtual representation of one of a plurality of devices for a remote user access device using a template document comprising:

a processor programmed to: associate each of the plurality of devices with respective display components (col. 7, line 26-col. 8, line 54, col. 9, line 17-col. 10, line 59);

associate each of a plurality of user access device types with respective templates documents (figures 6-8, col. 7, line 4-col. 10, line 59, col. 13, line 15-col. 14, line 24 —each device contains a LOGO image file associated with manufacture's name, device name, device type, device model...), wherein each of the template documents:

comprises a layout specifying an arrangement of display components, and supports the plurality of devices (figures 6-8, 10-11, col. 7, line 4-67, col. 9, line 30-col. 10, line 59 –template document comprises icon image file layout);

determine the remote user access device type (col. 17, line 1-col. 18, line 56 –determining device type/capability);

select a template document associated with the determined user access device type (col. 9, line 6- col. 10, line 58, col. 13, line 15-col. 14, line 24, col. 17, line 1-col. 18, line 56 – selecting the button/icon associated with device type/capability based on determining the device capability);

retrieve at least one display component for the device (col. 7, line 4-67); insert the at least one display component into the selected template document (figures 6-8, col. 7, lines 4-67, col. 9, col. 10, lines 26-58, col. 13, lines 26-51); and

generate the virtual representation for the device using the selected template document (col. 7, lines 4-67, col. 9, line 30-col. 10, line 59, col. 13, lines 15-51, col. 14, lines 26-41, col. 15, lines 23-63).

25. As to claim 76, **Humpleman** teaches the invention as claimed in claim 75, wherein the template document is retrieved from a remote from a remote database (figure 4, col. 11, line 60-col. 12, line 22).

Application/Control Number: 09/887,982 Page 10

Art Unit: 2153

26. As to claim 77, **Humpleman** teaches the invention as claimed in claim 75, wherein the template document is retrieved from the device (col. 7, lines 4-67, col. 14, lines 42-62, col. 15, line 49-col. 16, line 19).

- 27. As to claim 79, **Humpleman** teaches the invention as claimed in claim 78, wherein the template document is selected based on display language (col. 8, lines 55-67, col. 9, lines 30-45).
- 28. As to claim 80, **Humpleman** teaches the invention as claimed in claim 75, wherein the at least one display component corresponds to a component on the device (col. 7, lines 4-67, col. 9, line 30-col. 10, line 59).
- 29. As to claim 81, **Humpleman** teaches the invention as claimed in claim 75, wherein the at least one display component does not correspond to a component on the device (col. 7, lines 4-67, col. 9, line 30-col. 10, line 59).

## Conclusion

30. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory

Art Unit: 2153

action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

31. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thu Ha Nguyen, whose telephone number is (571) 272-3989. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenton Burgess, can be reached at (571) 272-3949.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (571) 273-8300 for regular communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/THUHA T. NGUYEN/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2153
May 24, 2008

Application/Control Number: 09/887,982

Page 12

Art Unit: 2153